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Objectives

• The Lancang-Mekong Basin experienced a severe drought from October 2012 to April 2013. This drought was similar in terms of spatial distribution and magnitude to that from 2009 to 2010.

• The main hydrological difference between these two droughts is likely caused by the Xiaowan Dam that was not completed during 2009-2010, but was operational after July 2010. It releases additional water during the drought from 2012 to 2013.

• This study compares the two drought events from the meteorological and hydrological perspective, and analyzes the impact of water supplement from Lancang hydropower cascade on the hydrological process of the Mekong River during the dry season of 2012-2013.
1. Materials and methods

**Data**

**Rainfall data**

*Historical daily rainfall data of the Mekong River Basin in the recent 70 years (1948-2014)*

Collected and compiled on the basis of the GLDAS (Global Land Data Assimilation System) global precipitation product

Spatial resolution is $0.25^\circ \times 0.25^\circ$

**Hydrological data**

*Historical daily flow data (1985-2016) of major hydrological stations:*

- Chiang Saen,
- Luang Prabang,
- Nong Khai,
- Nakhon Phanom,
- Mukdahan,
- Pakse,
- Stung Treng

was collected from MRCS.
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**Methods**

**SPI**
- SPI expresses the probability of precipitation occurrence in a given period,
- is applicable to meteorological drought monitoring and evaluation,
- on or above the monthly scale.

**SRI**
- SRI expresses the probability of runoff occurrence in a given period,
- is used in hydrological drought diagnosis and evaluation,
- on and above monthly scale.

**Hydrological Frequency Analysis**
- Based on 32-year (1985-2016) long time series flow data,
- Minimum daily, monthly, 3-month average flow, during the two drought events was calculated,
- corresponding frequency was calculated by Pearson-III Frequency Curve Fitting.

### Table: SPI Severity of Event

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>SPI</th>
<th>Severity of event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild dryness</td>
<td>(-1.0, 0)</td>
<td>1 in 3 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate dryness</td>
<td>(-1.5, -1.0)</td>
<td>1 in 10 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe dryness</td>
<td>(-2.0, -1.5)</td>
<td>1 in 20 yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extreme dryness</td>
<td>≤-2.0</td>
<td>1 in 50 yrs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mathematical Formulas**

- SPI: $SPI = S \frac{t-(c_2t+c_1)t+c_0}{(d_1t+d_2)t+1.0}$
- Hydrological Frequency: $t = \sqrt{\ln \frac{1}{G(x)^2}}$
- Cumulative Distribution Function: $G(x) = \frac{2}{\beta \Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^x x^{y-1} e^{-x/\beta} \, dx, \quad x > 0$
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2.1 Hydrological process during the two events

2.2 Meteorological Drought
- Inter-annual Variation
- Temporal and spatial distribution of the two droughts

2.3 Hydrological Drought
- SRI
- Hydrological Frequency Analysis

2.4 Comparison of Meteorological and Hydrological drought
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2.1 Hydrological process during the two events

a) Compared with the drought in 2009-2010, the flow of each station during dry season of 2012-2013 is relatively higher.

b) The most upstream station, Chiang Saen, is very important to understand the flow characteristics of the Lancang River and its impact on the downstream.

c) The flow at Chiang Saen from January to March 2013 was significantly higher than that of the same period in 2010
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2.2 Meteorological Drought

Inter-annual Variation-SPI sequences of the drainage area of mainstream stations
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2.2 Meteorological Drought

Monthly SPI values of the drainage area of mainstream stations

- Jinghong
- Chiang Saen
- Mukdahan
- Stung Treng

(a) SPI1; (b) SPI3; (c) SPI6
2. Drought analysis

2.2 Meteorological Drought

SPI6 result on catchment area of Lancang-Mekong main stream hydrological stations in dry season of 2009-2010 and 2012-2013 (December to May)

Above Nakhon Phanom station: SPI decreases from upstream to downstream

From Mukdahan to Stung Treng: drought severity close to each other
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2.2 Meteorological Drought

SPI6 result on catchment area of Lancang-Mekong main stream hydrological stations in dry season of 2009-2010 and 2012-2013 (November to April)
Close to normal in 2009-2010; basically light drought in 2012-2013
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2.3 Hydrological Drought

SRI sequences at Chiang Saen station

SRI value of Chiang Saen station shows an obvious upward trend, indicating that the severity of hydrological drought in dry season are significantly reduced.
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### 2.3 Hydrological Drought

SRI6 results at mainstream stations during the two drought events (December to May)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Chiang Saen</th>
<th>Luang Prabang</th>
<th>Nong Khai</th>
<th>Nakhon Phanom</th>
<th>Mukdahan</th>
<th>Pakse</th>
<th>Stung Treng</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2009-2010</strong></td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>-0.99</td>
<td>-1.16</td>
<td>-0.54</td>
<td>-1.03</td>
<td>-1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2012-2013</strong></td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It shows no hydrological drought occurred in 2012-2013
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2.3 Hydrological Drought

The recurrence period of minimum daily average flow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drought</th>
<th>Chiang Saen</th>
<th>Luang Prabang</th>
<th>Nong Khai</th>
<th>Nakhon Phanom</th>
<th>Mukdahan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009-2010</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-2013</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The recurrence period of minimum monthly average flow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drought</th>
<th>Chiang Saen</th>
<th>Luang Prabang</th>
<th>Nong Khai</th>
<th>Nakhon Phanom</th>
<th>Mukdahan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009-2010</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-2013</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The recurrence period of minimum 3-month average flow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drought</th>
<th>Chiang Saen</th>
<th>Luang Prabang</th>
<th>Nong Khai</th>
<th>Nakhon Phanom</th>
<th>Mukdahan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009-2010</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-2013</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hydrological drought in dry season of 2012-2013 is less severe than that of 2009-2010.
SPI6 and SRI6 (December to May) at mainstream stations for the dry season of 2009-2010 showed good consistency.

SPI6 and SRI6 (December to May) at mainstream stations for the dry season of 2012-2013 showed significant differences. The hydrological conditions were normal or relatively abundant. This indicates the actual inflow from the upper reaches is larger than the natural runoff.
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Impact on the Mainstream Flow

Monthly average discharge along the mainstream for the dry season of 2009-2010

The flow of Chiang Saen is close to that of Nong Khai, indicates high contribution rate of Chiang Saen inflow to Chiang Saen-Nong Khai stretch

Monthly average discharge along the mainstream for the dry season of 2012-2013
3. Effect of Water Supplement of Lancang Hydropower Cascade on the Lower Reaches

Impact on the Mainstream Flow

The monthly discharge of Jinghong station in 2012-2013 is higher than that of 2009-2010 and the multi-year average.

The monthly discharge of Chiang Saen in the dry season of 2012-2013 was higher than the multi-year average, which should be due to the water supplement from Lancang hydropower cascade.

Comparison of monthly average discharge along the mainstream for the dry seasons of 1960-2009, 2009-2010 and 2012-2013.
3. Effect of Water Supplement of Lancang Hydropower Cascade on the Lower Reaches

Impact on the Mainstream Water level

In the dry season of 2012-2013, the water level of most stations is higher than the historical average level.

The water levels of Jinghong and Chiang Saen are 0.30-0.71 and 0.46-1.11 meter higher than the historical average respectively, during January and May, 2013.
3. Effect of Water Supplement of Lancang Hydropower Cascade on the Lower Reaches

Impact on the Mainstream Water Volume

The water supplement of Lancang hydropower cascade has increased the water volume in the mainstream in dry season.

At Jinghong station, water volume for the dry season in 2012-2013 was 5.08 billion m$^3$ higher than multi-year average, and 6.7 billion m$^3$ more than that of 2009-2010.

At Chiang Saen station, water volume for the dry season in 2012-2013 was 5.36 billion m$^3$ higher than multi-year average, and 5.89 billion m$^3$ more than that of 2009-2010.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Volume of the dry season (billion m$^3$)</th>
<th>Deviation of volume between (billion m$^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1960-2009$^\text{a,b}$</td>
<td>2009-2010$^\text{a}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(% annual volume)$^\text{c}$</td>
<td>(% annual volume)$^\text{c}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jinghong</td>
<td>11.82 (21%)$^\text{d}$</td>
<td>10.20(-)%$^\text{d}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiang Saen</td>
<td>17.79 (21%)$^\text{d}$</td>
<td>17.27(24%)$^\text{d}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luang Prabang</td>
<td>23.99 (19%)$^\text{d}$</td>
<td>19.83(21%)$^\text{d}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nong Khai</td>
<td>26.57 (18%)$^\text{d}$</td>
<td>23.12(18%)$^\text{d}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakhon Phanom</td>
<td>34.85 (15%)$^\text{d}$</td>
<td>29.69(14%)$^\text{d}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mukdahan</td>
<td>55.59 (14%)$^\text{d}$</td>
<td>36.71(13%)$^\text{d}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakse</td>
<td>41.74 (13%)$^\text{d}$</td>
<td>36.28(13%)$^\text{d}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stung Treng</td>
<td>51.41 (13%)$^\text{d}$</td>
<td>44.65(12%)$^\text{d}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accumulated volume in the dry season at mainstream stations.
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(1) The inter-annual variation of meteorological drought is not significant. The SPI results show that the rainfall in the drainage area of Chiang Saen is characterized by alternation of high and low period, with no obvious trend. The rainfall in the drainage area of Mukdahan and Stung Treng has a slightly downward trend.

(2) In the upper reaches, the meteorological droughts in 2009-2010 and 2012-2013 are comparable. The two droughts reached moderate or severe level. In the lower reaches, drought in 2012-2013 is more severe than that of 2009-2010. The SPI6 results in the drainage area of Stung Treng station show that the dry season of 2012-2013 mostly belongs to moderate drought, and that of 2009-2010 mostly belongs to light drought.
4. Conclusions

(3) The inter-annual variation of dry season runoff along the Mekong mainstream shows a significant upward trend. The results of SRI6 (1985 to 2016) show the most severe period of hydrological drought in the upper reaches of the Mekong River was in the late 1990s, and that of the middle and lower reaches was in the late 1980s and early 1990s.

(4) In the dry season of 2012-2013, no hydrological drought occurred along the Mekong mainstream. The results of dry season SRI6 show that the discharges of mainstream stations were slightly or significantly greater than the multi-year average. The analysis of hydrological frequency shows that the drought recurrence period of the minimum daily and monthly discharge of Chiang Saen Station in 2009-2010 is more than 12 years, while the discharge of 2012-2013 dry season has reached the multi-year average.
(5) The Lancang hydropower cascade has a positive impact on the discharge and water level of the Mekong mainstream in dry season. The monthly discharge of Chiang Saen station in dry season of 2012-2013 is higher than the multi-year average, and the water level is 0.46-1.11 meter higher than the average; the monthly discharge and water level of other hydrological stations along the mainstream after January 2013 is higher than the multi-year average.

(6) The water supplement of Lancang hydropower cascade has increased the water volume of the Mekong mainstream in dry season.
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