



MEKONG RIVER COMMISSION

THE COUNCIL STUDY

**Study on Sustainable Management and Development of
the Mekong River Basin including Impacts of Mainstream
Hydropower Projects**

Policy Recommendations

**March 2018,
revised January 2019**

Disclaimer

The Council Study reports are considered final drafts prepared by specialists of the Mekong River Commission and international experts through an extensive process of consultation with representatives of the Member Countries and interested stakeholders. The contents or findings of the reports are not necessarily the views of the MRC Member Countries but serve as knowledge base and reference in the work of the MRC and its Member Countries in their ongoing technical and policy dialogues to ensure sustainable development of the Mekong River Basin.

The MRC is funded by contributions from its Member Countries and Development Partners: Australia, Belgium, European Union, Finland, France, Germany, Japan, Luxembourg, the Netherlands, Sweden, Switzerland, the United States and the World Bank.

Prime Ministers of Cambodia, Lao PDR, Thailand and Viet Nam agreed to conduct a Study on Sustainable Management and Development of the Mekong River including Impacts of Mainstream Hydropower Projects during the Third Mekong-Japan Summit in Bali in November 2011. The MRC Council, comprising Water and Environment Ministers of the four countries, commissioned the study the following month, with the primary aim of assessing the environmental, social and economic costs and benefits of existing and planned water developments in the Lower Mekong Basin (LMB).

The study, which became known as the Council Study, modelled three development scenarios. The early scenario was the “baseline” for 2007. The medium-term scenario was for the “definite future” of 2020 with existing projects and those under construction or firmly committed. The long-term scenario was for “planned development” in 2040. Outputs were integrated to describe outcomes for selected environmental, social and economic indicators. The outcomes were analysed in six sectors – hydropower, navigation, irrigation, agricultural land use, water use and flood protection.

Combinations of developments allowed the study to make cumulative assessments of the environmental, social and economic impacts. Since such assessments tend to mask individual developments or those in specific sectors, the study developed sub-scenarios to isolate increases or decreases in the sizes of the six sectors under the scenario for 2040. The study also developed three sub-scenarios to isolate the impacts of climate change.

The study found that combined investments in water resources for 2020 and 2040 were likely to negatively affect community resilience and vulnerability as well as sustainability and that the main trade-off was benefits accrued by power companies at the expense of fishing households. Such an outcome is not consistent with the goals of the Mekong Agreement of 1995. Excessive investment in hydropower and labour-intensive agriculture is likely to reduce both food security and GDP growth in the LMB (see attachment). The planned expansion of traditional agricultural activities is likely to increase demand for labour at the same time as the manufacturing and service sectors expand. Overinvestment in agriculture also raises the prospect of underused or abandoned infrastructure.

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

Member Countries may wish to consider supranational policies with objective science-based approaches to ensure mutually shared benefits and costs – including those involving the private sector – to sustain water development in the region. The study highlights the MRC’s research contribution to trans-boundary deliberations and the design and testing of mechanisms to coordinate multiple-sector objectives. The MRC, in close collaboration with the National Mekong Committees of Cambodia, Lao PDR, Thailand and Viet Nam, can further support national and regional planning, including the management of trade-offs.

Managing trade-offs between hydropower and fisheries is more efficiently achieved by sharing benefits across sectors rather than compensating losses between countries. A possible solution to reallocate benefits acquired by power companies at the expense of fishing households faced with lower catches in all four countries could be a levy of 18.9 percent on annual earnings for plants on the Mekong mainstream and 8.6 percent for those on tributaries.

Member Countries may wish to consider renewable power-generation technologies competitive with hydropower. Assessing emerging new technologies would provide major insights for managing the nexus of water, energy and food in the LMB, the main focus of the Second MRC Summit in Ho Chi Minh City in 2014.

Macro-Economic Findings from the Council Study

The scenarios for 2020 and 2040 combine positive and negative outcomes. At the narrow sectoral level, much of the hydropower and agricultural development seems very positive. Hydropower, however, is likely to trigger substantial losses in the fisheries sector.

Cambodia shows substantial growth potential and could increase GDP from around \$21 billion in 2017 to more than \$60 billion in 2040. Under the 2040 plans for developing water resources, however, growth is likely to be lower with GDP of around \$38.5 billion in 2040. This slowdown largely reflects excessive investment in labour-intensive agriculture and declines in fisheries caused by hydropower. Cambodia is likely to experience the highest trade-off – for every dollar gained from hydropower about 62 cents would be lost in fisheries. Drier-than-expected climate change would affect GDP substantially and cause a further decline in fisheries of nearly 15 percent. The scenario for 2020 with selected, highly beneficial agricultural projects is likely to have the greatest macroeconomic benefits.

Lao PDR shows immense growth potential and could boost GDP from about \$17 billion in 2017 to more than \$42 billion in 2040. However, negative trade-offs arising from water-related development are likely to slow growth, with GDP of about \$30 billion in 2040. Some investments are likely to fuel growth while others would counter the trajectory. Many hydropower projects are likely to be very beneficial to the economy. However, about 14 percent of the benefits would be lost in fisheries losses, triggering major food security issues. Seventy to eighty percent of hydropower benefits would go to investors from Thailand, China, Malaysia or South Korea. Drier-than-expected climate change would reduce hydropower benefits by as much as \$2.1 billion in net present value. Agricultural expansion is likely to be too ambitious as labour demand at current productivity would slow growth in manufacturing and services.

Thailand has the potential to increase GDP of its Mekong Basin areas from about \$50 billion in 2017 to about \$90 billion in 2040. Investment in water resources under the 2040 scenario, however, is likely to lead to lower GDP of \$71 billion, mainly due to lower fish catches. The benefits for Thailand or Thai companies from mainstream hydropower in Lao PDR are substantial – as much as \$82 billion in net present value for the 24-year time period. But these would be offset by income losses for small households along the Mekong of almost \$7 billion in net present value as fish stocks decline. Investment in manufacturing and services is seen as the most critical pillar for successful economic development.

Viet Nam could double the GDP from the Mekong Delta from about \$50 billion in 2017 to more than \$100 billion in 2040. Proposed water development plans for 2040 are, however, likely to result in lower GDP of \$81 billion due to several negative factors. Strategies to prioritise investment in food processing instead of food production and to stimulate additional growth in manufacturing and services (such as navigation and education) are very promising. But strong economic growth in the past has been inflationary. There is a risk that this will be repeated as fish and other food prices are likely to rise sharply if hydropower investments are made under the 2040 scenario. The trade-offs that hydropower is likely to cause for fisheries would be substantial at \$1.7 billion in net present value under the 2020 scenario and \$3.2 billion under the 2040 scenario. Effective mitigation could involve sustainable expansion of aquaculture and substantial investments in manufacturing and services to reduce vulnerabilities.